EARLY TRADE RELATIONS BETWEEN DENMARK AND SIAM
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While the history of the trade relations between Siam and the Portuguese, Dutch, English and French during the 16th and 17th centuries is more or less well-known to those interested in Siamese history, it is not so well-known that Denmark in the beginning of the 17th century also made a bid for a share in the commerce with Siam. As a matter of fact the trade relations between the Danish colonies on the East coast of India and the Siamese provinces on the Bay of Bengal, which commenced in 1620, were kept up for a very long time.

Denmark was governed in the beginning of the 17th century by King Christian IV (1588–1648) an energetic and well-informed monarch who, like so many of his contemporaries, saw in mercantilism a means whereby to enrich his country and himself. He therefore favoured lively trade relations with overseas lands, and, due to his encouragement, there was founded in 1616 in Copenhagen the Danish East India Company (Danske Ostindisk Kompani) which, with intervals, and under changing names, practically lasted for more than two hundred years until it was finally dissolved in 1843.

This trading company was founded on the proposal of two Dutchmen, Jan de Willum and Herman Rosenkrantz, and it was granted a trade monopoly for twelve years to start with in order to trade with the East Indies, China and Japan. Its capital was, to begin with, quite a small one, amounting only to 190,000 Danish Dollars of the Realm which, if one estimates the value of the money of the period to be three times as much as that of the present day, should be about £50,000.
In 1617 the Dutchman, Marcellin de Boshouwer, who was in the service of the so-called Emperor of Ceylon, arrived in Denmark in order to obtain the Danish King's assistance against the enemies of Ceylon. It was therefore decided to join political aims to those of commerce and a small fleet was fitted out and sent to Ceylon under the command of Ove Gjedde, later Admiral of the Realm. This man, though quite a young person of only twenty-four years of age, proved himself to be the right man in the right place under the most difficult circumstances.

The fleet consisted of two men-of-war, the Elefanten and the David, two merchant vessels, Kristian and Kjøbenhavn, besides a small Dutch ship loaded with provisions for the fleet. The fleet weighed anchor and left Copenhagen on the 14th November 1618. A yacht named Øresund, commanded by the Dutchman, Roland Crappé, of whom we shall hear more anon, preceded the fleet as a kind of scout.

After the long and perilous voyage of those days the fleet finally arrived at Ceylon where the so-called Emperor or King of Kandy had promised certain pieces of territory to Denmark. It became soon clear, however, that the Danes were not wanted in this island, and Admiral Gjedde had to give up all further efforts at treaty making. The enterprising Captain Crappé had meanwhile not been idle but had gone on to the coast of Coromandel and started negotiations with the Naik or Raja of Tanjore for the cession of a port to the Danish trading concern. On the 9th September 1620, Gjedde arrived at the small port of Tranquebar, and after a meeting with the Naik the Danes obtained the port of Tranquebar and a small piece of surrounding country. Here the walled brick fortress, Dansborg, was constructed, which can still be seen to-day. Captain Crappé was appointed the first Danish governor of Tranquebar, after which Admiral Gjedde returned with his squadron to Denmark.

Tranquebar became the headquarters for the subsequent Danish colonial activities. The so-called Lodge of Serampore, with Frederiksnagor on the Hoogli, was added to the Danish colonies. So was the archipelago of the Nicobars consisting of seven large and thirteen lesser islands.

It is not, however, the aim of this paper to relate the history of the Danish Indian colonies. Suffice it to say that a short-sighted
government in Denmark gave up most of the Danish possessions over the seas by the middle of the last century. Tranquebar was thus sold in February 1845 to the British East India Company for £125,000; the colony on the Gold Coast, a most flourishing possession, went in 1850 for the paltry sum of £10,000 also to Great Britain and the Nicobars were given up in 1848, to be annexed by the British in 1869.

From the annals of the Danish East India Company we know something about the trade relations between Tranquebar and Siam. These were limited to the Siamese provinces on the coast of the Bay of Bengal. As far as we have been able to find out, Danish ships never visited Ayudhya. Among the *produce* brought from Siam to Tranquebar were sometimes elephants. Danish manufactured guns, dating from the middle of the 18th century, were sold to Siam and some of them can still be seen in the old fortress at Samut Sakorn (Tachin) and in Singora. The famous long Danish muskets of the end of the 18th century were also well known in Kedah, at that time under Siamese suzerainty.

The port mostly frequented by the Danish ships from Tranquebar seems to have been Tenasserim, called by the Siamese of that time Muang or Nakhon Tranawasri,—though curiously enough this fact is not mentioned at all in Maurice Collis' book on that arch scoundrel and pirate *Siamese White*, who resided there as Siamese governor during the latter years of King Phra Narai Maharaj's reign. The first Danish ship to call at Tenasserim was commanded by the above mentioned Captain Crappé, the Dutchman in Danish service who became the first Governor of Tranquebar. His visit took place in the year 1621, during the reign of King Song Tham (1610-1628), and thus shortly after the Danes had taken possession of Tranquebar.

Our knowledge of this visit to Tenasserim is due to His Excellency State Councillor H. N. Andersen, the founder of the new Danish East Asiatic Company. Quite a number of years ago His Excellency, during a visit to the Archives of the Realm (Rigsarkivet) in Copenhagen, came upon three documents written in pencil on Siamese homemade paper (*kradat khoi*). He had them photographed and forwarded to His Royal Highness Prince Damrong Rajanubhab, at that time President of the National Library. The reproductions of these

---

(1) Since this was written His Excellency has passed away (30/12/37).
documents, which are published in this paper, have been made from a set of photographs kindly presented by His Royal Highness.

From the translation of these documents, it will be seen that the document issued by the Governor of Tanausri was dated the 13th day of the waning moon of the first month in the year Rakä Trinisok, which corresponds to the 10th December 1621. The second letter from two minor officials of Tanausri was four days earlier, and the third document is undated.\(^{(2)}\)

These documents constitute the very beginning of commercial and diplomatic intercourse between Denmark and Siam and as such they are of no mean interest for the study of Siamese history. As will be seen from the translation of the documents, the Danish ship, which was probably identical with the aforementioned yacht Øresund, with her commander and crew of sailors and soldiers, was received in a very hospitable and friendly manner by the authorities in Muang Tanausri. It is therefore no empty talk when the Danes speak of their traditional friendship with Siam.

**LETTER 1.**

*Text:*

(Translation)

5 หานคร ข้อขยายเด欸เรียกตัวไปเข้าทาม ถ้ามีเข้าไปชัยยะ

(2) The calculation of the dates given in these letters has kindly been undertaken by Major-General Mom Chao Wongs Nirajana, former Deputy Director-General of the Gendarmerie and at one time an officer in the Military Survey Department.
Translation:
Letter of Okiya Chaiyathibodi Sunannongkhotnai Apanaphifyakab, 
Rakromphahin, Governor of the great city of Traesanwati, to the 

LEtTER 1.

Letter of Okiya Chaiyathibodi Sunannongkhotnai Apanaphifyakab, 
Rakromphahin, Governor of the great city of Traesanwati, to the 

EARLY TRADE RELATIONS BETWEEN DENMARK AND SIAM
The following royal decree is given to the great city of Tranauwasri. It is hereby decreed that should foreign merchants enter the harbour of the great city of Tranauwasri to trade, and, having accomplished their business, should either be leaving the city (seawards) or be going on (? by land) to the metropolis of Thawārāwadī Sri Ayuthayā, they are to be given every facility to carry on their business without cause for irritation. Between Athilamāś and Tranauwasri an old tradition exists that merchants from Athilamāś be enabled to travel within the territory belonging to the great city of Tranauwasri. And now the Reth of Athilamāś, in consideration of (our mutual) regards, wishing (to establish) friendship with us, has instructed Captain Karabes of Athilamāś to bring a ship into the port of the great city of Tranauwasri. Captain Karabes of Athilamāś informs us that the Reth of Athilamāś is in good health and in the good company of all his chief councillors and generals and that the country of Athilamāś is happy and prosperous. The which we are glad to hear of, and have therefore had Captain Karabes of Athilamāś and his soldiers entertained as our guests; and have moreover permitted them to carry on their trade in accordance with all their wishes. As to duties accruing to us as well as fees due to our chief councillors by old custom, they have been lightened for Captain Karabes of Athilamāś because of our will to establish a close friendship with the Reth of Athilamāś. Whatever will tend to further cement the ties (of friendship) between the great city of Tranauwasri and Athilamāś, and (whatever will facilitate) merchants from Athilamāś in their continued trade in the great city of Tranauwasri, that (we) leave to the wits of the Reth of Athilamāś.

Given on Friday, the 13th of the waning moon of the first month of the year of the Cock, being the third of the decade (corresponding to the 10th December 1621).
LETTER 2.

Text:

Honorable Royal Chamber of Commerce,

Majesty.

We regret the late arrival of your letter.

The Danish Commercial Chamber has, however, received a communication from the German Commercial Chamber, which states that they have received your letter.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

5. The Danish Royal Chamber of Commerce has been informed of your arrival by a communication from the German Commercial Chamber, which states that they have received your letter.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

10. The Danish Royal Chamber of Commerce has been informed by the German Commercial Chamber that they have received your letter.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

15. The Danish Royal Chamber of Commerce has been informed by the German Commercial Chamber that they have received your letter.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
Translation:

Letter from Okphra Chom-muang Sri Rajakosä, and Okluang Chindräj, the Inspector, to the Rethô of Dilamãs:

Captain Karâbek of Dilamãs brought a ship to trade in the port of the great city of Tranauwasri, and His Excellency the Governor Ok-yyä Chaiyäthibodi Srironarongartuchai Aphaiphiriyabarâkromaphâhu, Lord Governor of the great city of Tranauwasri, had Captain Karâbek of Dilamãs and all the farangs who came with him duly entertained and allowed him to carry on buying and selling with expedition. All dues and fees accruing by law to His Excellency and all fees accruing by law to the provincial officials have been waived by His Excellency's order for Captain Karâbek of Dilamãs. His Excellency has further ordered the delivery of food to Captain Karâbek of Dilamãs and the farang soldiers who accompany him. Moreover the welfare of Captain Karâbek of Dilamãs which . . . . . His Excellency has ordered the provincial officials . . . . and Captain Karâbek of Dilamãs have been all accomplished. Further, the land of Tranauwasri and the land of Dilamãs shall be as one. May the Rethô of Dilamãs instruct Captain Karâbek of Dilamãs and all his agents to come and carry on their trade without . . . . . .

Given on Monday, the 9th of the waning moon of the first month, year of the Cock, being the third of the decade (corresponding to the 6th December 1621).

Letter 3.

(Only the left half of this being available, the missing right half seems possible of being supplied from Letter 2 and in the translation has been inserted in italics).

Text:

The letter is in Thai script and contains a translation provided in the translation section above.
Letter from Okphra Chom-Miung Sri Rajakosé and Ohtuwang Chindūrūj the Inspector, to the Rethò of Dilakamās: Captain Karabek of Dilakamās brought a ship to trade in the port of the great city of Tranauwasri and His Excellency Okey Čhaisāthēbdō Svitonaronggarūchāi Aphaiphiriya Barākromaphāhu, Lord Governor of the great city of Tranauwasri, duly entertained Captain Kārābek of Dilamās and the soldiers who came with him, and allowed Captain Kārābek of Dilakamās to buy and sell with expedition. As to dues and fees, whichever accrue to His Excellency and
the provincial officials according to cus-
tom, His Excellency caused to be waived in favour of Cap-
tain Kārābek of Dilakamās and His Excellency
causcd to be served food and provisions
for the use of Captain Kārā-
hek of Dilakamās and all the soldiers.

As for the welfare and happiness of Cap-
tain Kārābek of Dilakamās and whatever they may wish for,
His Excellency has given orders to the
provincial officials to satisfy them, according as Captain
Kārābek of Dilakamās may desire.

We have here three letters addressed to a certain personage called
the rēthō of Denmark. Their purport is practically identical.—friend-
ship and amity. This word rēthō presents a little problem. Rex
has been suggested, in which case it would refer to the King of
Denmark. The wording, however, of all three letters is hardly pro-
per for such an exalted recipient, for not only the Governor of
Tenasserim but also his subordinates addressed this rēthō on terms
of equality, using the personal pronoun We in an authoritative tone.
It would of course be unreasonable to expect provincial officials in a
far off country in East Asia to be conversant with all the exactitudes
of diplomatic protocol as practiced in Europe. It would perhaps be
even more unreasonable to expect the same from a Dutch mariner
and adventurer like Crappé. It is nevertheless difficult to believe
that everybody concerned should have been so ignorant as to the
status of a King of Denmark! There is perhaps a possible explana-
tion. The word for a head of state in colloquial Siamese happens
to be the same as that used for a provincial Governor—Chao
Miuang. Interpreters have been known to be men unaccustomed to
the language of diplomacy, resulting, in several actual cases in
history, even in the breaking off of diplomatic conversations. Could
it have been that in the case of these letters—the interpreters—
there must have been at least one to translate from the Dutch into,
possibly, Malay and another from that language into Siamese—made
use of this colloquial expression from which the Siamese authorities
understood that they were dealing with some Governor of a foreign
province whose status was not so very different from their own?
The foreign captain is mentioned invariably as Captain Karabes of Ath’ilumās in the first, and Captain Karabek of Dilamās in the second, and either Krābek or Karābek in the third letter with a slight difference in the way of indicating his nationality, due perhaps to negligence. The man has been identified with a certain Crappé who, however, was not a Dane but a Dutchman. The final s in the name is obviously superfluous, and cannot be explained with any certainty. It might have been due to the assimilative influence of the next word which we will now consider.

The word indicating the nation with which they were dealing is written Ath’ilumās (letter 1), Dilamās (letters 2 and 3), and Dilakomās (letter 3). Whether the interpreter was keeping to the Danish pronunciation or any other, it could never have ended in an s. That k, however, was invariably the guttural sibilant ʁ. Had the word been written with the soft guttural ṭ, instead of its sibilant, the transcription would have been normal. One hesitates to be for ever blaming the ignorance of the interpreter; but here again it is more than tempting to imagine that either he or the official scribe was to be blamed for confusing the two letters which were, after all, rather similar in look. In the first letter, moreover, we come to the expression of เรติ่มมาษ, as opposed to the เรติ่มมาษ of letters 2 and 3. It should be mentioned here that if we consider the expression in the first letter as เรติ่มมาษ, we should be having something comparatively uniform for all three of them. Unfortunately the scribe who wrote the first letter—probably less familiar with the sound of foreign languages than the man who wrote the second and third—considered the expression to be LayoutPanel rather than เรติ่มมาษ. Hence the superfluous _suffix of the first letter.

From a linguistic point of view, these letters are interesting for their archaisms in syntax, vocabulary and spelling. Though they only date from the early part of the XVII century, few Siamese manuscripts in existence can boast of an older age. The way moreover in which Siamese was written in those days not only shows that considerable modifications in this respect have taken place since then, but also suggests problems of intonation which do not seem to have engaged the attention as yet of scholars who have written about the language. As regards orthography, the vowel ɔ is invariably represented by its long counterpart ォ, e.g. รัศ (for รัศ); and the diphthong ㄧMETA seems
freely interchangeable with ร. e.g. มะ (and มะ). Many words are written with a mai mulai ( ResponseEntity ) instead of a mai mun ( ResponseEntity ) as we write them, e.g. ใคร. The glottal stop, now qualifying only the vowel a, is found with both of the other simple vowels, e.g. นุช and สังคีต. With regard to the tones, it is to be noted that the diacritical mark of mai ek was very freely used to indicate the least presence of stress, as for instance: ปรับ, ี้ (modern ี้). Certain accentuations suggest that the spoken language of those days was intonated somewhat differently from the standard modern Siamese of Bangkok. Take for instance the word ปราการ, which is now pronounced as ปราการ. One of the letters here shows by its phonetic transcription that the word was pronounced differently, thus: ปราการ. There is of course the possibility that the man who wrote this particular letter was a provincial and therefore adopted a different intonation from that used in the capital, which would presumably be the standard and the medium of culture of the day; and yet no educated provincial nowadays writes the language in a different way from that used everywhere else. Incidentally it might be mentioned that this particular mode of accentuation of the word ปราการ would be identical with what an uneducated man from Supan or Nakan Chaisri would adopt with spontaneity were he called upon to read the word written in the ordinary way: that is to say, he would read ปราการ as ปราการ. The word ฝรั่ง—Farang—is again an interesting one. Its occurrence in this letter seems to be perhaps the earliest yet found in any writing. It is now written ฝรั่ง and denotes primarily Europeans and secondarily all Westerners. It has been explained that the origin of the word is from the Persian feringhi, which referred to the Franks, and later applied to Westerners in general. In the reign of King Narai, towards the end of the 17th century, we find the word ฝรั่ง used for France, and ฝรั่งเศส for français, in the sense of French nationals (JSS. XIV. 2 p. 15), hence the modern word ฝรั่งเศส. We do not know the exact date of the commencement of relationship with Persia, but the presence of the word in these letters proves that it dates at least from an early part of the 17th century.

Siamese histories written during the Bangkok period record that when the old capital was founded it was given the name of Thawara-rāwadē Sri Ayuthaya (Dvārarāvatē Sri Ayudhya) in order to carry
on the analogy of the hero Rāma in India whose name the founder of our Ayudhya adopted as his official style. Now, the capital of the Indian hero was, as is well-known, Ayodhya. How then did the first part Thāwarāwadi come in? The point is being dealt with in a separate note, and it is to be noted here that our Governor of Tenasserim was already alluding to the capital in 1630 by this twin name. This is the earliest use again of the name Thāwarāwadi yet found in the written contemporary literature of Ayudhya.

In the first letter it is mentioned that all duties and fees had been lightened in favour of the Danish traders. The word translated by duties here is จังกษา, changkôp; and by fees, ริด, rîd. In the second letter the first word again occurs, but the second is slightly different, thus: ริดข้า, rîdchâ. The third letter does not contain the words. Dealing first with changkôp, this word has been the subject of considerable discussion. It occurred first in the inscription of Rāma Kauhaeng in a slightly different form, thus: ชั้นกุศ; and was translated by Coedès as tax. It next occurred in the Franco-Siamese treaty of 1688, ratified by Louis XIV in 1689 (JSS. XIV, 2. pl. V). It was there, however, coupled with two other words, thus: จังกษา และ ริดข้า, which appeared in the French text as droits d'entrée et de sortie. Coedès rendered this into English as import and export duties (ibid. p. 36), with a footnote explaining that the word was obsolete and meant (import) duty. Quaritch Wales says of this word that it had, throughout the Ayudhya and early Bangkok periods, the special meaning of "customs and inland transit duties" (Ancient Siamese Government and Administration, 1934, p. 197). He was probably translating changkôp by customs, for inland transit duties is usually understood to be the equivalent of the word ทวารัตกาล, a fact which he himself confirms (ibid. p. 209). At any rate his translation into customs would seem to be, not a contradiction of Coedès' tax, but rather a specification of it. On the other hand Coedès' (import) duty, seems to be questionable—as regards the import . . . part of it. The simple word duty has therefore been adopted in this place. The word rîdchâ, more correctly ริดข้า, transcribed rîdja by Wales, is now used for fees and seems from the context of our letters to have had that meaning all along. La Loubère, in describing emoluments to

---

(3) Owing to lack of space this note has been held over for publication in the next number. Ed.
which a provincial governor was entitled (La Loubere: English translation by A. P. Sen, 1689, p. 83) said: In the maritime governments, the Tchaou-Mewang sometimes takes customs of the merchant ships, but it is generally inconsiderable. At Tenasserim, it is eight per cent. in the kind, according to the Relations of the Foreign Missions.

Among the archaisms in the syntax, may be mentioned the following interesting passages:

Letter 1, line 5 ซื้อขายแล้ว แล้วคืนยอดไป

The co-ordinative conjunctions (in italics) are, except in one expression ไม่แต่การ ไม่, meaning never ending, obsolete.

In line 5 also, we have the archaic conditional preposition ถ้า, meaning if, now reduced to ถ้า.

In line 14, the expression ซื้อตัวเรือ is out of use, and is now replaced by ซื้อตัวเรือ.

In line 15, the expression วิธีเล่าปั้งจุ is now obsolete.

Archaisms in spelling abound. In fact it would seem that there could not have been a hard and fast standard of spelling in general use. One hears always complaints about there being no definite standard of spelling nowadays, but from a glance at these letters it would seem that the evil is an old one!

Going on then to deal with the letters one by one, we have in letter 1 an official communication from the Governor dated Friday the thirteenth of the waning moon of the first month of the year of the Cock, the third in the decade. The orthography, especially in its accentuation, is variable; but the writing is clear and legible. It contains expressions of friendship and amity and sanction for all forms of commerce, in which all duties and fees are lightened in favour of friendship for Denmark on the part of the local Government.

As is customary with Siamese letters and communications in olden days, the name of the sender is written in full at the beginning and no signature is appended. Official correspondence, however, bore official seals of the sender which practically took the place of the signature. The title of the Governor is identical with that ordained in the Statutes of Sakdina. Tenasserim was written Trannauwasri, indicating the presence then of an extra syllable after the second which is no longer pronounced now. The seal at the end of the letter was no doubt the seal of office for the Governorship of Tenasserim. It is
rather like the royal seal called Nāgapallang noy, the use of which was revived by the late King Rama VI in favour of the heads of the bigger departments in the then extensive jurisdiction of the Lord Chamberlain. It is quite likely that the seal used in this letter might have also been such a royal seal too, and therefore what has come down to the senior subordinates of the Lord Chamberlain might well have been the seals of office of the Governors of the maritime provinces, for Nāgapallang signifies the snake-couch of Vishnu in the oceans.

The second letter was written on Monday, the ninth of the waning moon of the first month in the same year. It was therefore four days older than the Governor's letter. It was from Okphra Chommuang Sirajakosa, probably the Deputy-Governor, and Okluang Chindārāj, the Inspector. Its purport was probably a covering letter for that of the Governor, though it does not say so. What it says is approximately the same as the contents of the Governor's letter, without the preamble citing the Royal Command, for that prerogative would more naturally belong to the Governor alone. The handwriting is careless and given to marked flourishers.

The third letter, written on the same day as the second, was from the same persons. The handwriting looks almost the same, with identical flourishers. Its right half is lost. If we compare the contents of these two letters, it becomes more than tempting to think that the lost half of the part we have could be easily supplemented by filling in with passages from the second letter which have been here underlined. What we have in the third letter is identical with the second—word for word, except for just two or three which do not alter the sense—minus half a line at every regular pause. Whether this third letter went on to deal with anything else later it is quite impossible to say, for the last portion of it is also lost.

Bangkok, September 1937.